

|   |                                |                                  |  |
|---|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | Application No.<br>09/986,378  | Applicant(s)<br>SANDSTROM ET AL. |  |
|   | Examiner<br>Sajeda Muhebbullah | Art Unit<br>2174                 |  |

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Allowable

 (1) Examiner Sajeda Muhebbullah.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Attorney Michael Mutter.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 18 May 2006
**Time:** 10:00 AM
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*U.S.C. 102 rejections*

Claims discussed:

*Independent claims 1, 8, 12, 18, 22 and 25*


Prior art documents discussed:

*Borgstrom (US 6,738,053)*
**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:  
Independent claims 1, 8, 12, 18, 22 and 25 were discussed as to how to amend the claims for allowability. Changes were made to the independent claims that were accepted by both the Attorney and Examiner to make the application allowable. Claims 18 and 25 did not need any further amendment to be allowable. .